

CRUSHING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS BY RELOCATING RESOURCES**KU Anupama¹ Mani M²J. Ashok³ and M. Jeganathan⁴**

Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Nehru Institute of Technology, Coimbatore.

³Dean, Dhanalakshmisrinivasan School of Architecture, Perambalur - 621113.⁴Associate Professor, Designed Environment and Research Institute (DEAR Institute) Trichy- 621 213.nitanupama@nehrucolleges.comjegann1978@gmail.com

ABSTRACT A variety of schedule compression techniques are used to get delayed construction projects “back on track”. This paper presents a new optimization approach to schedule crashing by relocating some of the workers from non-critical to critical processes (changing composition of crews using the initial pool of workers) and employing additional resources. The authors describe their idea in the form of a mixed-integer linear problem. A numerical example illustrates the merits of the proposed approach. The method may become a practical support in construction scheduling decisions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Construction projects constitute a particular challenge for managers. The factors that contribute to their unpredictability include the susceptibility of construction processes to weather, uniqueness of designs, high employee turnover rates, various supply-related logistic problems, and high plant failure rate [1]–[9]. While these projects are commonly understood as exceptionally difficult to deliver on time, project owners are rigorous about deadlines specified in the contract. First, they need to conform to the bank loan conditions (schedule changes are not welcome, especially in terms of postponing the completion date). Second, the clients desire to benefit from the projects as quickly as possible. Therefore, they tend to set short and fixed due dates with no tolerance of the “unexpected obstacles” likely to be encountered by the contractor. Given limited project budgets, short times for completion, scarce human resources, and high risk, the problem of compressing construction schedules is far from trivial. The well-established methods, such as CPM, PDM, LSM, PERT prove inadequate for such challenges [10]–[19]. Especially with repetitive projects, the main disadvantages of these methods are imprecise visualization (even with small projects, the bar charts or network diagrams are difficult to handle) and failure to capture the continuity of work and the dynamics of production rates [12]–[14], [20]–[22]. Inappropriate scheduling methods and tools mean more than the planner’s lack of comfort: they imply oversimplifications and omissions. These result in scheduling errors and the contractor’s accepting unrealistic deadlines. What follows are contractual penalties, the client’s disappointment, and harm to the contractor’s reputation. Inadequate scheduling tools fail to prevent the planner from misallocating resources, and the resulting workflow disturbance reduces labor productivity, increases the cost of works, and damages the workforce morale. For this reason, the research and project management community strives to develop more practical planning methods. The authors attempt to provide a tool to assist construction managers in scheduling fast-track projects as well as increasing schedules to make up for delays. The idea is to allow modifications of crew composition, so using some members of crews

performing non-critical processes to reinforce the crews busy with critical tasks. This way, the critical tasks can be delivered quicker, though at the expense of other tasks. The overall project duration is reduced, while the pool of resources stays fixed. However, for greater flexibility, employing extra resources is also allowed.

The novelty of the approach consists in the following:

- The model assumes that the crews can be split into teams and stay operational even if a team is relocated to other tasks. A typical assumption in construction scheduling is that of fixed crew composition. This approach may foster the learning effect. However, if crews are composed of a number of teams, and allocated to a task of small workload, their work becomes inefficient. It is thus considered practical to allow transferring out a part of the crew and use it efficiently elsewhere.
- The proposed approach integrates two concepts of project crashing – by employing extra resources (sub-contracting), and by relocating in-house resources. The idea of relocating teams helps reduce the project duration with no need to hire additional resources or change construction methods to faster but more costly ones. This way, acceleration generates no extra cost.
- The idea of setting the upper limit to the cost of sub-contracted works helps manage in-house resources rationally. Many existing models for schedule crashing rely on subcontracting (increasing the resource pool). They consider the direct cost of subcontracting but ignore hidden costs associated with reducing the productivity of in-house resources and their standby pay.

The paper is organized as follows: the next section provides an overview of the schedule compression methods presented in the literature. Then, the authors propose their approach to reducing project duration: the assumptions are described and the optimization model is mathematically formalized. Further on, the model is applied to a simple notional case to illustrate its merits. The last section summarizes the results, discusses the limitations of the model, and indicates directions for future work. (Vasanthy and Jeganathan 2007, Vasanthy et.al., 2008, Raajasubramanian et.al., 2011, Jeganathan et.al., 2012, 2014, Sridhar et.al., 2012, Gunaselvi et.al., 2014, Premalatha et.al., 2015, Seshadri et.al., 2015, Shakila et.al., 2015, Ashok et.al., 2016, Satheesh Kumar et.al., 2016).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

For practical reasons, minimizing project duration is probably the most frequently addressed problem in construction project scheduling [23]–[34]. The body of literature on fast-track project planning and schedule crashing techniques is rich. The methods can be roughly divided into the following groups:

Using assembly-line approach and steady rhythm of work of crews (to eliminate disturbance in the flow of works and eliminate unproductive time) adding extra resources or changing the execution modes of selected processes (to quickly complete the key processes that affect project duration), Using flexible processes precedence relations, allowing some processes to be split for greater flexibility it breaks down complex processes into simple repetitive activities that may be performed by specialized crews, and focuses on continuity of their work: allows them to move from one unit to another without interrupting the work of other specialized crews [17], [35], [36]. A number of methods based on this idea were developed, for instance RSM by Harris and Ioannou [19]. The authors introduced the concept of the controlling sequence, which has the same practical significance as the critical path in the CPM method and can be used to determine the duration of a project. Yang and Ioannou [37] computerized the RSM, thus providing users with a means to quickly test various scheduling strategies. An interesting development of this idea was proposed by Maravas and Pantouvakis [38], who created a fuzzy RSM. Possible differences between repetitive units and the variation in crew performance were described in the form of fuzzy sets to allow for their naturally non-deterministic character. (Manikandan et.al.,

2016, Sethuraman et.al., 2016, SenthilThambi et.al., 2016).

A] SPLITTING PROCESSES

Another way to compress schedules is to allow some non-critical processes to be split. As their execution is suspended, their resources can be temporarily redirected to reinforce the crews performing other, critical processes. This way, the critical tasks may be accelerated and the whole project delivered faster. The disadvantage of this solution is a possible extra cost and work to protect the effects of unfinished processes against damage. The model presented by Altuwaiman and El-Rayes [58] allowed interrupting secondary construction processes to reduce the overall project duration and to improve the continuity of works. Their procedure consisted of four phases: early schedule calculation, work-continuity float calculation, ensuring strict work continuity, and assessing schedule performance. The merits were illustrated by an example of a repetitive project. The model enables the planner to generate a wide range of schedules with a reduced completion time and analyze them in terms of the total project cost.

Similarly, Long and Ohsato [59] considered splitting and suspending tasks of repetitive projects to create schedules with minimized time and/or cost. Their method respects all constraints of the initially defined network model and constraints on resource continuity. The relationships between the time and cost of processes can be linear, nonlinear, or discrete. The method's performance was demonstrated using examples of the construction of a bridge (a case used for testing in other publications) and a notional project consisting of five work units with eighteen processes in each of them. Amini and Heravi [60] developed a model that allows interrupting processes with higher production rates to allocate their resources to other processes in order to reduce project duration. The presented method is flexible in terms of the number and duration of pauses in the course of the process execution – they can be defined according to the planner's preferences.

CONCLUSION

Managing construction projects is a challenge and, due to the sheer scale, the consequences of wrong decisions are particularly costly. Unfortunately, the standard scheduling methods are not easily adaptable to the problems of construction projects. The need for reliable decision making support has not been satisfied yet. The existing ways of accelerating construction projects (allocation of additional resources, the introduction of soft relations between processes, enabling the interruption of secondary processes) usually entail additional costs. This paper puts forward a MILP algorithm for compressing schedules by changes in the resource allocation – namely by splitting crews into teams and relocating teams to processes that are reasonable to be accelerated. Team relocation was observed in the construction practice, though not found to be reflected in schedule optimization algorithms described in the literature. A further reduction of construction duration was also enabled through subcontracting. Both methods were incorporated into one optimization model. To illustrate the merits of the method, it was applied to optimize a test schedule. The total duration was reduced by 7% without employing extra resources. However, as the pool of resources was increased by subcontractors, the schedule was compressed by as much as 27.7% of the original duration, though with a considerable increase in subcontracting costs (40%). The developed method generated better results than another state-of-the-art method taken from the literature. These results, though certainly not representative, show the potential of this method. It significantly increases flexibility in resource allocation. It may be applied for re-scheduling delayed projects to find a way to accelerate while being constrained by a fixed pool of resources. The method can also be used by contractors at the bidding stage to analyze how to make the best use of available resources and how to subcontract more economically

while meeting the contractual deadlines. Obviously, the example we presented was relatively small, but the method applied to real-life full-scale problems. Available solvers of linear models are efficient even if there are thousands of constraints.

REFERENCES

1. K. Tanskanen, J. Holmström, J. Elfving, and U. Talvitie, "Vendor-managed-inventory (VMI) in construction," *Int. J. Product. Perform. Manag.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 29–40, 2009.
2. K. A. London and R. Kenley, "An industrial organization economic supply chain approach for the construction industry: A review," *Construct. Manage. Econ.*, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 777–788, Dec. 2001.
3. M. Tomczak and P. Jaskowski, "Accelerating the execution of construction projects by relocating resources," in *Proc. Creative Construct. e-Conf.*, 2020, pp. 14–19.
4. P. Jaśkowski and M. Tomczak, "Minimisation of work gaps for general contractor crews in construction project scheduling," *Sci. Rev. Eng. Envi-ron. Sci.*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 193–201, 2017.
5. L. Rzepecki, "Optimization of inventory costs management in the construction enterprise," in *Proc. IOP Conf., Mater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, vol. 603, no. 3, Art. no. 032046.
6. F. A. E.-M. Agrama, "Linear projects scheduling using spreadsheets features," *Alexandria Eng. J.*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 179–185, Jun. 2011.
7. Bakry, O. Moselhi, and T. Zayed, "Optimized scheduling and buffering of repetitive construction projects under uncertainty," *Eng., Construct. Architectural Manage.*, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 782–800, Nov. 2016.
8. Y. Su and G. Lucko, "Linear scheduling with multiple crews based on line-of-balance and productivity scheduling method with singularity functions," *Autom. Construct.*, vol. 70, pp. 38–50, Oct. 2016.
9. D. Arditi, O. B. Tokdemir, and K. Suh, "Challenges in line-of-balance scheduling," *J. Construct. Eng. Manage.*, vol. 128, no. 6, pp. 545–556, Dec. 2002.
10. Vasanthi M and M. Jeganathan. 2007. Ambient air quality in terms of NO_x in and around Ariyalur, Perambalur DT, Tamil Nadu. *Jr. of Industrial pollution Control.*, 23(1):141-144.
11. Vasanthi. M ,A.Geetha, M. Jeganathan, and A. Anitha. 2007. A study on drinking water quality in Ariyalur area. *J. Nature Environment and Pollution Technology.* 8(1):253-256.
12. Ramanathan R ,M. Jeganathan, and T. Jeyakavitha. 2006. Impact of cement dust on azadirachtaindicaleaves – a measure of air pollution in and Around Ariyalur. *J. Industrial Pollution Control.* 22 (2): 273-276.
13. Vasanthi M and M. Jeganathan. 2007. Ambient air quality in terms of NO_x in and around Ariyalur, Perambalur DT, Tamil Nadu. *Pollution Research.*, 27(1):165-167.
14. Vasanthi M and M. Jeganathan. 2008. Monitoring of air quality in terms of respirable particulate matter – A case study. *Jr. of Industrial pollution Control.*, 24(1):53 - 55.
15. Vasanthi M, A. Geetha, M. Jeganathan, and M. Buvaneshwari. 2008. Phytoremediation of aqueous dye solution using blue devil (*Eichhornia crassipes*). *J. Current Science.* 9 (2): 903-906.
16. Raajasubramanian D, P. Sundaramoorthy, L. Baskaran, K. Sankar Ganesh, AL. A. Chidambaram and M. Jeganathan. 2011. Effect of cement dust pollution on germination and growth of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *IRMJ-Ecology. International Multidisciplinary Research Journal* 2011, 1/1:25-30 : ISSN: 2231-6302: Available Online: <http://irjs.info/>.
17. Raajasubramanian D, P. Sundaramoorthy, L. Baskaran, K. Sankar Ganesh, AL. A. Chidambaram and M. Jeganathan. 2011. Cement dust pollution on growth and yield attributes of groundnut. (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *IRMJ-Ecology. International Multidisciplinary Research Journal* 2011, 1/1:31-36. ISSN: 2231-6302. Available Online: <http://irjs.info/>
18. Jeganathan M, K. Sridhar and J. Abbas Mohaideen. 2012. Analysis of meteorological conditions of Ariyalur and construction of wind roses for the period of 5 years from January 2002. *J. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Monit.*, 22(4): 375-384.
19. Sridhar K, J. Abbas Mohaideen M. Jeganathan and P. Jayakumar. 2012. Monitoring of air quality in terms of respirable particulate matter at Ariyalur, Tamilnadu. *J. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Monit.*, 22(5): 401-406.

20. Jeganathan M, K Maharajan C Sivasubramaniyan and AManisekar. 2014. Impact of cement dust pollution on floral morphology and chlorophyll of *healiantthusannus* plant – a case study. *J.Ecotoxicol.Environ.Monit.*, 24(1): 29-34.
21. Jeganathan M, C Sivasubramaniyan A Manisekar and M Vasanthi. 2014. Determination of cement kiln exhaust on air quality of ariyalur in terms of suspended particulate matter – a case study. *IJPBA*. 5(3): 1235-1243. ISSN:0976-3333.
22. Jeganathan M, S Gunaselvi K C Pazhani and M Vasanthi. 2014. Impact of cement dust pollution on floral morphology and chlorophyll of *healiantthusannus*.plant a case study. *IJPBA*. 5(3): 1231-1234. ISSN:0976-3333.
23. Gunaselvi S, K C Pazhani and M. Jeganathan. 2014. Energy conservation and environmental management on uncertainty reduction in pollution by combustion of swirl burners. *J. Ecotoxicol. Environ.Monit.*, 24(1): 1-11.
24. Jeganathan M, G Nageswari and M Vasanthi. 2014. A Survey of traditional medicinal plant of Ariyalur District in Tamilnadu. *IJPBA*. 5(3): 1244-1248. ISSN:0976-3333.
25. Premalatha P, C. Sivasubramanian, P Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari.2015. Effect of cement dust pollution on certain physical and biochemical parameters of castor plant (*ricinuscommunis*). *IAJMR*.1(2): 181-185.ISSN: 2454-1370.
26. Premalatha P, C. Sivasubramanian, P Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari.2015. Estimation of physico-chemical parameters on silver beach marine water of cuddalore district. *Life Science Archives*. 1(2): 196-199.ISSN: 2454-1354.
27. Seshadri V, C. Sivasubramanian P. Satheeshkumar M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. Comparative macronutrient, micronutrient and biochemical constituents analysis of *arachishypogaea*.*IAJMR*.1(2): 186-190.ISSN: 2454-1370.
28. Seshadri V, C. Sivasubramanian P. Satheeshkumar M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. A detailed study on the effect of air pollution on certain physical and bio chemical parameters of *mangiferaindicaplant*.*Life Science Archives*. 1(2): 200-203.ISSN: 2454-1354.
29. Shakila N, C. Sivasubramanian, P. Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. Effect of municipal sewage water on soil chemical composition- A executive summary. *IAJMR*.1(2): 191-195.ISSN: 2454-1370.
30. Shakila N, C. Sivasubramanian, P. Satheeshkumar, M. Jeganathan and Balakumari.2015. Bacterial enumeration in surface and bottom waters of two different fresh water aquatic eco systems in Ariyalur, Tamillnadu. *Life Science Archives*. 1(2): 204-207.ISSN: 2454-1354.
31. Ashok J, S. Senthamilkumar, P. Satheeshkumar and M. Jeganathan. 2016. Analysis of meteorological conditions of ariyalur district. *Life Science Archives*. 2(3): 579-585.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.3.9.
32. Ashok J, S. Senthamil Kumar, P. Satheesh Kumar and M. Jeganathan. 2016. Analysis of meteorological conditions of cuddalore district. *IAJMR*.2 (3): 603-608.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
33. Satheesh Kumar P, C. Sivasubramanian, M. Jeganathan and J. Ashok. 2016. South Indian vernacular architecture -A executive summary. *IAJMR*.2 (4): 655-661.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
34. Satheesh Kumar P, C. Sivasubramanian, M. Jeganathan and J. Ashok. 2016. Green buildings - A review. *Life Science Archives*. 2(3): 586-590.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.3.9.
35. Satheesh Kumar P, C. Sivasubramanian, M. Jeganathan and J. Ashok. 2016. Indoor outdoor green plantation in buildings - A case study. *IAJMR*.2 (3): 649-654.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.

36. Manikandan R, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. Assessment of ground water quality in Cuddalore district, Tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives. 2(4): 628-636.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.3.9.
37. Manikandan R, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. A study on water quality assessment of Ariyalur district, Tamilnadu, India. IAJMR.2 (4): 687-692.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
38. Sethuraman G, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. Assessment of air quality in Ariyalur, Tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives. 2(4): 637-640.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.3.9.
39. Sethuraman G, M. Jeganathan, P. Satheesh Kumar and J. Ashok. 2016. A study on air quality assessment of Neyveli, Tamilnadu, India. IAJMR.2 (4): 693-697.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.21276/iajmr.2016.2.3.3.
40. SenthilThambi J, C. Sivasubramanian and M. Jeganathan. 2018. Ambient Air quality monitoring in terms of (Nitrogen di oxide in and around Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu, India. IAJMR.4 (3): 1414-1417.ISSN: 2454-1370. DOI: 10.22192/iajmr.2018.4.3.2.
41. SenthilThambi J, C. Sivasubramanian and M. Jeganathan. 2018. Study of Air pollution due to vehicle emission in Ariyalur District, Tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives. 4(4): 1409-1416.ISSN: 2454-1354. DOI: 10.22192/lisa.2018.4.4.3.
42. Malarvannan J, C. Sivasubramanian, R. Sivasankar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari. 2016. Shading of building as a preventive measure for passive cooling and energy conservation – A case study. Indo – Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IAJMR): ISSN: 2454-1370. Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 906 – 910. DOI: 10.21276.iajmr.2016.2.6.10.
43. Malarvannan J, C. Sivasubramanian, R. Sivasankar, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari. 2016. Assessment of water resource consumption in building construction in tamilnadu, India. Life Science Archives (LSA) ISSN: 2454-1354 Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 827 – 831 DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.6.7.
44. Sivasankar R, C. Sivasubramanian, J. Malarvannan, M. Jeganathan and M. Balakumari. 2016. A Study on water conservation aspects of green buildings. Life Science Archives (LSA),ISSN: 2454-1354. Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 832 – 836, DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.6.8.
45. Ashok J , S. Senthamil Kumar , P. Satheesh Kumar and M. Jeganathan. 2016. Analysis and design of heat resistant in building structures.Life Science Archives (LSA), ISSN: 2454-1354. Volume – 2; Issue - 6; Year – 2016; Page: 842 – 847. DOI: 10.21276/lisa.2016.2.6.10.