

## INCIDENCE OF FUNGUS IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC RHINOSINUSITIS AND HEALTHY INDIVIDUALS

<sup>1</sup>Dr Swetha Polisetti, <sup>2</sup>Dr Mandava Chandana, <sup>3</sup>Dr K Dwarakamai, <sup>4</sup>Dr C Anand Kumar, <sup>5</sup>Dr Amar Kumar Repaka, <sup>6</sup>Dr. Mandalaju Ashok

<sup>1</sup>Associate Professor: Department of Otorhinolaryngology: Kamineni academy of medical sciences and research centre: L. B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068.

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor: Department of Otorhinolaryngology: Kamineni academy of medical sciences and research centre: L. B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068.

<sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology: Kamineni academy of medical sciences and research centre: L. B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068.

<sup>4</sup>Professor: Department of Otorhinolaryngology: Kamineni academy of medical sciences and research centre: L. B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068.

<sup>5</sup>Assistant Professor: Department of Urology: All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bibinagar, Yadadri Bhuvanagiri District, Telangana 508126

<sup>6</sup>Senior Resident: Department of Anesthesia, Nilofer Hospital/ Osmania Medical College, Koti, Hyderabad, Telangana 500095

**\*Corresponding author:** Dr K Dwarakamai,  
Assistant Professor, Department of Otorhinolaryngology,  
Kamineni academy of medical sciences and research centre,  
L. B. Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana 500068.  
Email: mandava.chandu 12@gmail .com

Received: 19/11/2024

Accepted: 17/12/2024

Published: 08/01/2025

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** The presentation of patients with chronic fungal rhinosinusitis can be varied posing a diagnostic challenge to the treating physician. In reviewing the available literature, we realized that all the landmark studies on fungal rhinosinusitis were not done in our state.

**Aim:** Our study is aimed to know the incidence of fungus in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis and healthy individuals, Role of fungus as a contributing factor for failure of medical management in chronic rhinosinusitis patients.

**Methods:** This is a prospective observational study conducted in 40 patients with chronic rhinosinusitis who attended ENT OPD. All the patients were assessed clinically, endoscopically, radiologically, microbiologically and were given standard medical treatment. Based on response, patients were divided into two groups as Responders and Non responders. Incidence of fungus in both the groups as well as in healthy individuals were analysed.

**Results :** Of all the patients, 60% responded to medical management and 40% of patients had poor response. In our study Prevalence of fungus in responders was 65%, 86% in nonresponders and 45% in healthy individuals.

**Conclusion :** Incidence and type of fungus was clinically and statistically significant in patients with poor response to medical management indicating fungus as important contributing factors for poor response to medical management.

**Keywords:** Chronic rhinosinusitis, Nonresponders, Healthy individuals(HI).

## INTRODUCTION

Chronic rhinosinusitis is one of the common diseases encountered in ENT practice causing significant morbidity to the patients. It affects approximately 20% of the population and has significant impact on quality of life of these individuals. Rhinosinusitis is defined as an inflammation of the mucous membrane that lines nose and paranasal sinuses and is defined as chronic rhinosinusitis, when the signs and symptoms last for more than 12 weeks. Fifty percent of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis respond to standard medical treatment<sup>1</sup>. Patients who do not respond undergo surgical management.[1,2]

The presence of fungal organisms was once thought to be uncommon in cases of rhinosinusitis. But fungi being ubiquitous in nature and human exposure being inevitable, normal respiration will routinely deposit fungal elements in the nose. Fungus in the nasal cavity may lead to invasive forms including variants of acute, chronic, granulomatous or non invasive forms like allergic fungal rhinosinusitis. Acute invasive forms tend to occur in immunocompromised patients and chronic, granulomatous forms in immunocompetent patients. Studies examined chronic rhinosinusitis and were able to culture fungal organisms by special handling and novel methods in 96% of patients and in 100% of normal healthy individuals. Studies identified fungal elements in 92.5% of chronic rhinosinusitis patients by polymerase chain reaction technique and in 97.5% of normal healthy individuals.[3,4]

Literature search did not have reveal any reports about the fungal etiology as a probable cause for not responding to medical treatment in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis. In view of above, this study is designed to find out the incidence and any difference in type of fungal organisms present in nasal cavity in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with good response and poor response to medication and also in healthy individuals.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is a Prospective observational study with sample size of 40 conducted in Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, Kamineni Academy of Medical Sciences and Research Center, from October 2023 – September 2024

**Inclusion criteria:** Patients above the age of 15 years and below the age of 65years, with regular follow up and not on any steroids for last one month.

**Exclusion criteria:** Patients with complicated chronic rhinosinusitis, who have undergone paranasal sinus surgery previously, history of hypersensitivity to penicillin, presence of nasal polyposis and infection from surrounding areas.

All the patients were included into the study after taking proper informed consent and Institutional Ethics Committee Clearance. After taking detailed history from the patient and complete clinical examination, all patients underwent Diagnostic nasal endoscopy and Non contrast computerized tomography of nose and paranasal sinuses. During Diagnostic nasal endoscopy swab and nasal washings were collected for microbiological assessment for presence and type of fungus.

Standard medical treatment with Antibiotic (Amoxicillin+Clavulanic acid), antihistaminics, Saline nasal spray and Duonase nasal spray were given to all the patients for 2 weeks. Depending upon the response to treatment, patients were divided into two groups. Group A(12) included patients with good response to medical management and Group B(8) included patients with poor response to medical management. The data between two groups were compared using Mann Whitney test and significance was ascertained using p value.

## RESULTS

The findings are based on the study of 40 patients of which 20 patients were diagnosed with chronic rhinosinusitis and 20 patients were healthy individuals. Age distribution revealed

that 83% in Group A and 62% in Group B were between 16 to 45 years. Most of the patients were males in both the groups accounting for 66.6% in Group A and 62.5% in group B .

On analysing the patients with chronic rhinosinusitis clinically , 73.3%(14) of patients presented with nasal obstruction , 65.3%(13) with nasal discharge ,57.3%(11) with headache ,44%(8) with facial pain and 18.6%(6) with hyposmia.

On examination ,about 68%(13) of patients had mucosal congestion and nasal discharge and 64% of patients had sinus tenderness

Mycological analysis in our study showed incidence of fungus is more in non responders(group B) 86.7% followed by patients with good response to medication(group A) 65% and least incidence was seen in healthy individuals(HI) 45% ( statistically not significant p value >0.05)

**Table-1:Comparison of presence of fungus in nasal lavage**

| Fungus  | Group A(12) | Group B(8) | HI(20) |
|---------|-------------|------------|--------|
| Present | 7(65%)      | 6(86%)     | 9(45%) |
| Absent  | 5           | 2          | 11     |

In our study Aspergillus flavus showed overall highest incidence of 47.8% , were as in non responders Aspergillus niger has highest incidence (statistically significant with p value < 0.05)

**Table-2: Comparison of patients according to type of fungus present.**

| Fungus      | Group A (7) | Group B (6) | HI(9) | No of patients 22 (%) |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------|
| A.Flavus    | 4           | 1           | 6     | 11(50%)               |
| A.Fumigatus | 2           | 2           | 3     | 7(31.8%)              |
| A.Niger     | 1           | 3           | 0     | 4(18%)                |

## DISCUSSION

Chronic rhinosinusitis being one of the common diseases encountered in ENT practice, it is important to know incidence and type of fungus responsible for poor response to medical treatment to plan appropriate management. In this study 40 immunocompetent patients were included. The minimum age of the patient in our study was 16 years and maximum age was 65 years with 72% of patients between the age of 16 to 45 years. In a study conducted by Thaimoor latif et al[5] and Raziuddin Ahmed et al[6] maximum age group was between 31 to 40 years and 21 to 40 years respectively. In a study conducted by Sheetal et al[7] and Kirtane MV et al[8] maximum age group was between 20 - 40 and 20 –30 years respectively. Our study correlated well with all the above studies.

In our study, 25(62.5% ) were males and 15(37.5%) were females showing male predominance. In a study conducted by Sheetal et al, 62% were male and 38% were females. In a study conducted by Zojaji et al[9] , 69% were male and 31% were females. Above studies showed significant male predominance similar to our study.

Fungus was present in 65%(7) of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis, 45%(9) of healthy individuals and 86%(6) of non responders in nasal lavage . The prevalence rate of fungal isolation by the study conducted in chronic rhinosinusitis patients by Amin and Kakru[10] at

Srinagar was found to be 30%, and by Chakraborty et al[10] 42% at Chandigarh, Venugopal et al[11] in Tamil Nadu 45%, In a Malaysian study, the prevalence was found to be 26.7%, Braun et al[12] in Europe, found that 75.5% of specimens were positive fungal elements.

According to Cody et al[13] , 10 to 20% of patients with chronic sinusitis who undergo surgery have fungus as the main etiologic agent . Chhabra et al[14], after analyzing 28 consecutive cases of nasal polyposis, isolated fungi from the paranasal sinuses in 11(39%) patients.

In a study by Ponikau et al[3] and kim et al[4] incidence of fungus in healthy individuals was 100% and 97.5%. In study by Ponikau et al[3] and kim et al[4] incidence of fungus was very high in healthy individuals when compared to our study .Higher incidence of fungus in other studies may be because of special handling techniques and polymerase chain reaction for fungal culture .

**Table-3:comparison of presence of fungus in chronic rhinosinusitis patients**

| Authors               | Year of study | Presence of fungus in CRS patients |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Amin and Kakru[10]    | 2012          | 30%                                |
| Chakraborty et al[15] | 2012          | 42%                                |
| Venugopal et al[16]   | 2014          | 45%                                |
| Braun et al[12]       | 2012          | 75.5%                              |
| Present study(n=40)   | 2024          | 65%                                |

**Table-4: Comparison of presence of fungus in healthy individuals**

| Author                       | Year of Study | Incidence of Fungus |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Ponikua et al[2]<br>(N= 210) | 1999          | 100%                |
| Kim et al[1] (N=122)         | 2005          | 97.5%               |
| Present Study(N= 40)         | 2024          | 45%                 |

Out of 22 of total patients with presence of fungus , 50% (11) have *Aspergillus flavus* , 31.8%(7) have *Aspergillus fumigatus*,18%(4) have *Aspergillus niger*. Highest incidence of *Aspergillus flavus* when compared to other fungus. In the study conducted by Raziuddin ahmed et al[6], 17 patients were positive among the 26 study population for fungal element and *Aspergillus flavus* was most common species (6 patients) identified. In a study conducted by Razmpa E et al[17], 17 among 50 patients in the study were found to be positive for fungal organism. Predominantly *Aspergillus flavus* was found in 17 patients. O Satyanarayana et al[18] in his study population of 50 had 30 cases positive. Among these 30 patients 13 had *Aspergillus flavus* isolated. In our study, *Aspergillus flavus* is most commonly isolated fungus, correlated with studies of Raziuddin ahmed et al[6], Razmpa E et al[17] and O Satyanarayana et al[18].

**Table-5: Comparision of type of fungus with highest incidence**

| Authors | Type of study | Type of fungus |
|---------|---------------|----------------|
|---------|---------------|----------------|

|                                       |      |                               |
|---------------------------------------|------|-------------------------------|
| Raziuddin Ahmed et al[6]<br>(n= 26)   | 2012 | Aspergillus flavus<br>(35%)   |
| Razmpa E et al[17] (n = 50)           | 2007 | Aspergillus flavus<br>(100%)  |
| O Satyanarayana et al[18]<br>(n = 50) | 2015 | Aspergillus flavus<br>(43.3%) |
| Present study(n=40)                   | 2024 | Aspergillus flavus<br>(50%)   |

Our study had shown that *Aspergillus niger* was predominantly present in non responders where as *Aspergillus flavus* was present in health individuals and responders. From the above it can be inferred that it is not mere presence of fungus causes failure of medical management but probably the type of fungus as a role to play

Identifying this type of fungus in patient may give us a reasonable indication that they may not respond to medical management.

### CONCLUSION

Our study showed predominance of *Aspergillus flavus* in responders and healthy individuals and *Aspergillus niger* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* in non responders. We can conclude that presence of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and *Aspergillus niger* should always be considered as an important factor in patients with poor response to medical management. Further studies are required to see whether adding antifungal treatment along with standard medical treatment in patients detected to have *Aspergillus niger* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* in nasal lavage will translate to increase in number of responders or not.

### REFERENCES

1. Suh JD, Kennedy DW. Treatment options for chronic rhinosinusitis. *Proc Am Thorac Soc.* 2011 Mar;8(1):132-40.
2. Review of current guidelines related to the diagnosis and treatment of rhinosinusitis. *Current opinion in Otorhinolaryngology& Head and Neck Surgery.* 2008 Jun; 16(3):226-30.
3. Ponikau, J.U., Sherris, D.A., Kern, E.B., Homburger, H.A., Frigas, E., Gaffey, T.A. et al. The diagnosis and incidence of allergic fungal sinusitis. *Mayo clinic Proc.* 1999; 74: 877-884.
4. Kim ST, Choi JH, Jeon HG, Cha HE, Hwang YJ, Chung YS. Comparison between polymerase chain reaction and fungal culture for the detection of fungi in patients with chronic sinusitis and normal controls. *Acta Otolaryngol.* 2005 Jan;125(1):72-5.
5. Malik, Taimoor latif, and Mansoor Basir Pal. Prevalence of Fungal Infection in Nasal Polyps. *age P J M H S*:2014: vol. 8 no.4.
6. Raziuddin ahmed et al; Frequency of fungal involvement in nasal polyposis; April 2012; *Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences*: 6(2):454-458
7. Deosthale NV, Khadakkar SP, Singh B, Harkare VV, Dhoke PR, Dhote KS: Anatomical variations of Nose and Paranasal Sinuses in Chronic Rhinosinusitis. *PJSR*2014;7(2):1-7.
8. Kirtane MV et. al. Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (A preliminary study). *Indian Journal of otolaryngology* 1991; 43:126-9.
9. Lund V.J. Anatomy of the nose and paranasal sinus. *Scott Brown Otolaryngology*, 6th edition; vol.1 Butterworth and Heinemann: 1997;1/5/4-7.
10. Amin M, Kakru DK. Fungal rhinosinusitis: Period prevalence and risk factors – A prospective study. *JK Pract* 2012;17:33-8.

11. Deshmukh J, Bhise S, Band A. Mycological study of Aspergillus infections in chronic paranasal sinusitis in eastern Maharashtra: A longitudinal study. *Int J Sci Stud* 2014;2:53-7.
12. Braun H, Buzina W, Freudenschuss K, Beham A, Stammberger H. 'Eosinophilic fungal rhinosinusitis': a common disorder in Europe? *Laryngoscope*. 2003 Feb;113(2):264-9.
13. Cody DT, Neel HB, Ferreiro JA, Roberts GD. Allergic fungal sinusitis: The Mayo Clinic Experience. *Laryngoscope* 1994;104:1074-9.
14. Chhabra A, Handa KK, Chakrabarti A, Mann SBS, Panda N. Allergic fungal sinusitis: clinicopathological characteristics. *Mycoses* 1996;39: 437-
15. Chakrabarti A, Denning DW, Ferguson BJ, Ponikau J, Buzina W, Kita H, Marple B, Panda N, Vlaminc S, Kauffmann-Lacroix C, Das A, Singh P, Taj-Aldeen SJ, Kantarcioglu AS, Handa KK, Gupta A, Thungabathra M, Shivaprakash MR, Bal A, Fothergill A, Radotra BD. Fungal rhinosinusitis: a categorization and definitional schema addressing current controversies. *Laryngoscope*. 2009 Sep;119(9):1809-18.
16. Venugopal M, Sagesh M. Proptosis: The ENT Surgeon's Perspective. *Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2013 Aug;65(Suppl 2):247-50.
17. Razmpa E, Khajavi M, Hadipour-Jahromi M, Kordbacheh P. The prevalence of fungal infections in nasal polyposis. *Acta Medica Iranica* 2007; 45:46-50.
18. Satyanarayana, O, Krishna Dora, P, Surya Kirani, K.R.L. Study of fungal isolates in nasal polyposis. *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, 2015.4(7): 635 636.