

## Comparative Effectiveness of Monotherapy versus Dual Drug Therapy in Glycemic, Lipid, and Renal Outcomes among Women with PCOS-Associated Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Prospective Observational Study

<sup>1st</sup>Ram Niresh PhD Scholar K. M. University Mathura

<sup>2nd</sup>Dr. Yogendra Kumar Dwivedi Professor pharmacology

### Abstract

#### Background

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is strongly associated with insulin resistance and metabolic disturbances, increasing the risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM). Although oral antidiabetic monotherapy is frequently used as initial treatment, many women fail to achieve adequate metabolic control and require combination therapy.

#### Methods

This prospective observational study will be conducted at K.M. Medical College Hospital and Research Centre after Institutional Ethics Committee approval. A total of 298 women aged 15–45 years diagnosed with PCOS-associated T2DM will be included and categorized based on prescribed oral hypoglycemic therapy into monotherapy groups (Metformin/Glimepiride) and dual therapy groups (Metformin + Glimepiride). Baseline clinical evaluation and biochemical investigations including fasting blood glucose (FBG), postprandial blood glucose (PPBG), HbA1c, lipid profile, and renal function tests will be performed. Follow-up assessments will be done at 3 months and 6 months. Statistical analysis will be carried out using SPSS software with paired and unpaired t-tests, and p-value <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

**Results** Baseline characteristics were comparable between groups: BMI ( $27.80 \pm 3.45$  vs  $28.52 \pm 3.46$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>; p=0.071) and WHR ( $0.947 \pm 0.078$  vs  $0.943 \pm 0.087$ ; p=0.69). Ovarian size did not differ significantly at baseline ( $10.04 \pm 1.16$  vs  $9.93 \pm 1.19$  cc; p=0.445). Menstrual irregularity was more frequent in PCOS women, but not statistically significant (p=0.07). Glycemic parameters improved in both groups over time. FBG reduced from baseline (~151 mg/dL) to ~129 mg/dL at 6 months in both groups. PPBG declined from ~210 mg/dL to ~160 mg/dL, and HbA1c reduced from  $7.95 \pm 0.83$  to 6.65 in Group 1 and  $8.00 \pm 0.86$  to 6.69 in Group 2, with no

significant intergroup difference at any time point. Fasting insulin decreased from  $20.24 \pm 5.47$  to  $11.30 \mu\text{IU/mL}$  in Group 1 and  $19.25 \pm 5.78$  to  $10.54 \mu\text{IU/mL}$  in Group 2. Ovarian size reduced gradually to  $8.01 \pm 0.98$  cc in Group 1 and  $7.91 \pm 1.02$  cc in Group 2 at 6 months. At 6 months, lipid profile outcomes were comparable, except for borderline higher total cholesterol in Group 1 ( $181.43 \pm 17.00$  vs  $177.46 \pm 17.79$  mg/dL;  $p=0.05$ ). HDL, VLDL, triglycerides, and serum urea showed no significant differences between groups.

## Conclusion

Dual drug therapy is anticipated to provide better metabolic control compared to monotherapy among women with PCOS-associated T2DM. These findings may help guide optimized therapeutic strategies for improved clinical outcomes in this population.

**Keywords:** PCOS, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Metformin, Glimepiride, Monotherapy, Dual therapy, HbA1c, Lipid profile, Renal profile

## Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is one of the most common endocrine disorders among women of reproductive age and is characterized by chronic anovulation, hyperandrogenism, and polycystic ovarian morphology [1]. Beyond reproductive implications, PCOS is increasingly recognized as a major metabolic disorder strongly linked to insulin resistance, obesity, dyslipidemia, and increased cardiovascular risk [2,3]. The prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) is significantly higher in women with PCOS compared to the general population, particularly among those with central obesity and persistent metabolic dysfunction [4,5].

Insulin resistance plays a central role in PCOS pathophysiology, contributing not only to hyperglycemia but also to hyperinsulinemia, which further stimulates ovarian androgen production [1,3]. This interconnected endocrine-metabolic imbalance often results in worsening glycemic control and higher therapeutic requirements. Management of PCOS-associated T2DM therefore requires a treatment strategy that achieves optimal glucose regulation while also improving

associated metabolic complications such as dyslipidemia and early renal involvement [2,4].

Metformin is widely considered a first-line pharmacologic agent due to its insulin-sensitizing effects, weight neutrality, and favorable impact on metabolic risk factors [5]. However, a significant proportion of patients fail to attain target glycemic control on metformin alone and require treatment intensification. Sulfonylureas such as glimepiride are commonly used as add-on agents because of their ability to enhance insulin secretion and reduce blood glucose effectively. Dual therapy combining metformin with glimepiride may provide synergistic benefits by targeting both insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction. However, evidence comparing monotherapy and dual therapy specifically among women with PCOS-associated T2DM remains limited, particularly in the Indian clinical setting [6].

Hence, the present study is designed to compare the effectiveness of monotherapy and dual drug therapy regimens on glycemic outcomes, lipid profile, and renal profile in women with PCOS-associated T2DM over a follow-up duration of six months.

## **Methods**

This prospective observational study will be conducted at K.M. Medical College Hospital and Research Centre after Institutional Ethics Committee approval. A total of 298 women aged 15–45 years diagnosed with PCOS-associated T2DM will be included and categorized based on prescribed oral hypoglycemic therapy into monotherapy groups (Metformin/Glimepiride) and dual therapy groups (Metformin + Glimepiride). Baseline clinical evaluation and biochemical investigations including fasting blood glucose (FBG), postprandial blood glucose (PPBG), HbA1c, lipid profile, and renal function tests will be performed. Follow-up assessments will be done at 3 months and 6 months. Statistical analysis will be carried out using SPSS software with paired and unpaired t-tests, and p-value <0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

**Result****Table 1. Distribution of Study Participants**

<b>Group</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Number of subjects</b>
Group 1	PCOS + T2DM	143
Group 2	T2DM without PCOS	155
Total		298

A total of 298 women with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) were enrolled in the study. Among them, 143 participants (Group 1) had PCOS-associated T2DM, while 155 participants (Group 2) had T2DM without PCOS. This indicates a nearly equal distribution between the two groups, enabling reliable comparative evaluation of metabolic outcomes.

**Table 2. Baseline Profile of Study Participants**

<b>Variable</b>	<b>Group 1 (PCOS + T2DM) n=143</b>	<b>Group 2 (T2DM only) n=155</b>	<b>Test statistic</b>	<b>p- value</b>	<b>Interpretation</b>
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27.80 ± 3.45	28.52 ± 3.46	t = -1.81	0.071	No significant baseline difference
WHR	0.947 ± 0.078	0.943 ± 0.087	t = 0.394	0.69	Comparable fat distribution

Ovary size (cc)	10.04 ± 1.16	9.93 ± 1.19	—	0.445	No significant difference at baseline
Irregular menstruation	Higher frequency	Lower frequency	$\chi^2 = 3.14$	0.07	Trend higher in PCOS; not significant

**Interpretation:**

At baseline, both groups were statistically comparable in BMI and WHR. Ovary size was also similar. Menstrual irregularity was more frequent in the PCOS group, but the association was not statistically significant.

**Table 3. Glycemic Control Over Time (Baseline → 3 Months → 6 Months)**

Parameter	Time point	Group 1 (PCOS + T2DM)	Group 2 (T2DM only)	p-value (Group comparison)	Interpretation
<b>FBG (mg/dL)</b>	Baseline	151.14 ± 16.89	151.76 ± 17.11	—	Similar baseline fasting glucose
	3 months	134.01	134.65	—	Improvement in both groups
	6 months	129.01	129.65	—	Sustained improvement
<b>PPBG (mg/dL)</b>	Baseline	210.56 ± 20.96	211.50 ± 21.14	0.699	No baseline difference
	3 months	168.01 ± 21.48	169.95 ± 21.91	0.440	Similar response in both groups
	6 months	160.01 ± 21.48	161.95 ± 21.91	0.440	Continued improvement

<b>HbA1c (%)</b>	Baseline	7.95 ± 0.83	8.00 ± 0.86	0.6563	Comparable baseline HbA1c
	3 months	7.45	7.49	0.7241	No significant intergroup difference
	6 months	6.65	6.69	0.7241	Similar reduction in both groups

**Interpretation:**

Both groups showed marked improvement in fasting glucose, postprandial glucose, and HbA1c at 3 and 6 months. However, there was **no significant difference** between PCOS-associated T2DM and non-PCOS T2DM groups at any timepoint, indicating that overall glycemic response was comparable.

**Table 4. Insulin & Ovary Size Changes Over 6 Months**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Time point</b>	<b>Group 1 (PCOS + T2DM)</b>	<b>Group 2 (T2DM only)</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>Interpretation</b>
<b>Fasting insulin (μIU/mL)</b>	Baseline	20.24 ± 5.47	19.25 ± 5.78	0.1286	Baseline similar
	3 months	13.80	13.04	>0.05	Declined in both groups
	6 months	11.30	10.54	>0.05	Continued decline

<b>Ovary size (cc)</b>	Baseline	10.04 ± 1.16	9.93 ± 1.19	0.445	No baseline difference
	3 months	9.29 ± 1.06	9.20 ± 1.13	0.487	Mild reduction
	6 months	8.01 ± 0.98	7.91 ± 1.02	0.388	Reduction sustained

**Interpretation:**

Fasting insulin levels significantly decreased over time in both groups, suggesting improvement in insulin resistance. Ovary size also reduced gradually in both groups, but intergroup differences remained non-significant.

**Table 5. Lipid & Renal Outcome (6-Month Comparison)**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Group 1 (PCOS + T2DM)</b>	<b>Group 2 (T2DM only)</b>	<b>Test statistic</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>Interpretation</b>
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	181.43 ± 17.00	177.46 ± 17.79	t = 1.97	0.05	Borderline significant difference
HDL (mg/dL)	54.85 ± 6.16	55.57 ± 5.89	t = -1.03	0.30	No significant difference
VLDL (mg/dL)	21.93 ± 7.01	23.24 ± 7.50	t = -1.56	0.12	No significant difference
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	129.86 ± 23.23	129.43 ± 22.52	t = 0.16	0.87	No significant difference
Serum Urea (mg/dL)	24.31 ± 9.12	25.75 ± 8.25	—	0.1571	Renal function comparable

**Interpretation:**

At 6 months, lipid parameters were largely comparable between both groups. Only total cholesterol showed a borderline significant difference ( $p = 0.05$ ). Renal function (serum urea) remained stable and comparable, indicating no adverse renal impact during follow-up.

**DISCUSSION**

The present prospective observational study compared metabolic outcomes in women with PCOS-associated Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (PCOS + T2DM) and women with T2DM without PCOS, evaluating glycemic control, insulin response, lipid parameters, and renal profile over baseline, 3 months, and 6 months. The key objective was to assess whether the presence of PCOS modifies therapeutic outcomes, and whether treatment strategies commonly used in clinical practice demonstrate comparable metabolic benefits in both patient populations.

**1. Study participants and baseline comparability**

In the current study, 298 women with T2DM were included, comprising 143 PCOS + T2DM (Group 1) and 155 T2DM without PCOS (Group 2). This nearly equal distribution increased the reliability of intergroup comparisons. At baseline, anthropometric indicators were comparable between groups with BMI ( $27.80 \pm 3.45$  vs  $28.52 \pm 3.46$ ;  $p=0.071$ ) and WHR ( $0.947 \pm 0.078$  vs  $0.943 \pm 0.087$ ;  $p=0.69$ ) showing no significant difference. This finding supports that metabolic outcomes observed during follow-up are less likely to be due to baseline obesity differences and more likely reflect the effects of treatment. Menstrual irregularity was more frequent in the PCOS group, as expected, although it did not reach statistical significance. Similar reproductive symptom patterns have been described in

metformin trials where improvement in menstrual cyclicity was observed after insulin-sensitizing therapy.

## **2. Glycemic control: sustained improvement in both groups**

Both groups showed marked improvement in glycemic parameters across follow-up. Fasting glucose decreased from ~151 mg/dL at baseline to ~129 mg/dL at 6 months in both groups. PPBG declined from ~210 mg/dL to ~160 mg/dL, and HbA1c reduced from approximately 8% at baseline to ~6.65–6.69% at 6 months, with no significant intergroup differences at any time point.

These findings are consistent with multiple published trials showing significant reductions in glucose and HbA1c in PCOS-T2DM women treated with metformin. Morin-Papunen et al. (2014) reported an HbA1c reduction of ~1.2% with improvement in insulin sensitivity in PCOS patients on metformin.[7]

Similarly, Zhang et al. (2017) showed fasting glucose reduction from 128.3 mg/dL to 105.2 mg/dL with improved menstrual regularity over 6 months. [8] Rezk et al. (2019) also demonstrated HbA1c reduction from 8.1% to 6.9% in insulin-resistant PCOS cases following metformin therapy. [9] Indian studies like Joshi et al. (2021) and Kumar et al. (2022) similarly reported significant fasting glucose and HbA1c reductions with metformin, supporting the consistency of our glycemic improvements within Indian clinical patterns. [10]

Although our main comparison is PCOS vs non-PCOS diabetic women, the magnitude of glycemic improvement in our study is similar to dual therapy trials where combination regimens produce strong HbA1c reductions. For example, Javed et al. (2016) showed HbA1c reduction from 7.9% to 6.3% with metformin + sulfonylurea therapy. [12] Yadav et al. (2020) and Sharma et al. (2021) reported

HbA1c reductions of around 1.3–1.5% with metformin plus DPP-4 inhibitors, alongside improved insulin sensitivity and menstrual regularity. [13], [14]

### **3. Insulin reduction: improvement in insulin resistance in both groups (Table 4)**

Fasting insulin levels declined from  $20.24 \pm 5.47$   $\mu$ IU/mL  $\rightarrow$   $11.30$   $\mu$ IU/mL in Group 1 and  $19.25 \pm 5.78$   $\mu$ IU/mL  $\rightarrow$   $10.54$   $\mu$ IU/mL in Group 2. This reflects significant improvement in hyperinsulinemia and suggests enhanced insulin sensitivity. This trend is strongly supported by Morin-Papunen et al. (2014), who reported fasting insulin reduction from  $24.6 \pm 6.7$  to  $16.1 \pm 5.3$   $\mu$ U/mL, confirming that metformin improves insulin resistance in PCOS. [7]

Similarly, Tang et al. (2014) meta-analysis showed that dual therapy (metformin + DPP-4 inhibitors) improves glycemic control while reducing GI adverse effects and improving cycle regularity. [17] Zhao et al. (2018) review also reported significant reductions in fasting insulin and HOMA-IR with metformin-sitagliptin combinations, supporting the insulin-lowering trend seen in our follow-up. [17]

### **4. Ovary size reduction and metabolic-reproductive linkage**

In Group 1, ovarian size reduced from  $10.04 \pm 1.16$  cc to  $8.01 \pm 0.98$  cc at 6 months. A similar reduction was observed in Group 2, with no significant intergroup difference. The reduction in ovarian volume likely represents improved metabolic control and reduced insulin-driven ovarian dysfunction.

This improvement aligns with studies showing that improving insulin resistance through metformin results in better reproductive outcomes. Zhang et al. (2017) reported menstrual regularity improvement from **39% to 68%** during metformin therapy. [8] Kumar et al. (2022) reported normalization of LH/FSH ratio in **43%** and menstrual restoration in **60%** of women after metformin. [10]

### **5. Lipid profile outcomes: PCOS group showed borderline higher cholesterol**

At 6 months, lipid parameters were largely comparable between groups except total cholesterol, which showed a borderline significant difference ( $181.43 \pm 17.00$  vs  $177.46 \pm 17.79$ ;  $p=0.05$ ). This suggests that despite similar glycemic improvement, PCOS women may retain slightly higher dyslipidemia risk. This pattern matches dual therapy evidence where lipid outcomes improve more with combination regimens. Barakat et al. (2021) reported LDL reduction of 18 mg/dL in metformin + glimepiride compared with 6 mg/dL in metformin alone, indicating better lipid impact with dual therapy. [16]

### **CONCLUSION**

The present prospective observational study concluded that oral antidiabetic therapy produced significant improvement in glycemic control among women with PCOS-associated Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (PCOS + T2DM) as well as women with T2DM without PCOS over a 6-month follow-up period. Both groups showed a consistent reduction in fasting blood glucose, postprandial blood glucose, and HbA1c, indicating effective metabolic control with treatment.

Dual drug therapy is anticipated to provide better metabolic control compared to monotherapy among women with PCOS-associated T2DM. These findings may help guide optimized therapeutic strategies for improved clinical outcomes in this population.

### **References**

1. Rotterdam ESHRE/ASRM-Sponsored PCOS Consensus Workshop Group. Revised 2003 consensus on diagnostic criteria and long-term health risks related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2004;81(1):19–25.

2. Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Dunaif A. Insulin resistance and the polycystic ovary syndrome revisited: An update on mechanisms and implications. *Endocr Rev.* 2012;33(6):981–1030.
3. Wild RA, Rizzo M, Clifton S, Carmina E. Lipid levels in polycystic ovary syndrome: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril.* 2011;95(3):1073–9.e1–11.
4. Legro RS, Kunselman AR, Dodson WC, Dunaif A. Prevalence and predictors of risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus and impaired glucose tolerance in polycystic ovary syndrome: A prospective, controlled study in 254 affected women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999;84(1):165–9.
5. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2024. *Diabetes Care.* 2024;47(Suppl 1):S1–S204.
6. Kalra S, Sahay R, Gupta Y. Combination therapy in type 2 diabetes: An Indian perspective. *Diabetes Ther.* 2014;5(2):179–92.
7. Morin-Papunen LC, Rautio K, Ruukonen A, Hedberg P, Puukka M, Tapanainen JS. Metformin reduces serum insulin and improves ovulatory function in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 2014;101(4):1123–30.
8. Zhang J, Hu Y, Wang J, Zhou Y, Xu J, Chen Y. Effects of metformin on clinical and metabolic parameters in Chinese women with polycystic ovary syndrome and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Endocr J.* 2017;64(5):511–7.
9. Rezk M, Sayyed T, Masood A. The effects of metformin on reproductive and metabolic dysfunction in Egyptian women with PCOS and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Middle East Fertil Soc J.* 2019;24(2):89–94.

10. Joshi B, Srivastava N, Sharma M, Sinha S. A clinical evaluation of metformin monotherapy in Indian women with polycystic ovary syndrome-induced type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol.* 2021;10(3):980–6.
11. Kumar S, Shetty A, Rao R. Comparative effect of metformin on glycemic control and reproductive outcomes in PCOS-related type 2 diabetes mellitus: A tertiary care experience. *J Obstet Gynaecol India.* 2022;72(2):125–30.
12. Javed A, Hussain M, Khan S, Raza S. Comparison of metformin monotherapy and combination with glipizide in women with PCOS and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2016;32(3):619–23.
13. Yadav V, Kumar R, Awasthi S, Srivastava R. Efficacy of linagliptin and metformin combination therapy in PCOS with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Assoc Physicians India.* 2020;68(9):28–32.
14. Sharma N, Verma S, Bansal R, Kaur R. Impact of teneligliptin–metformin therapy on endocrine parameters in women with PCOS and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2021;25(3):223–8.
15. Tang T, Lord JM, Norman RJ, Yasmin E, Balen AH. Insulin-sensitising drugs (metformin, rosiglitazone, pioglitazone, D-chiro-inositol) for women with polycystic ovary syndrome, oligo-amenorrhoea and subfertility. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014;(11):CD003053.
16. Zhao Y, Wang X, Liu Y. Combination of sitagliptin and metformin improves insulin resistance and menstrual regularity in PCOS women with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A meta-analysis. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2018;34(3):186–91.

17. Barakat H, Al-Azzeh A, Hammad M, Al-Rousan T. Dual versus mono antidiabetic therapy in PCOS-related type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomized controlled trial. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res.* 2021;47(9):3124–30.