

A study of Peripheral Neuropathy in Diabetes in a Tertiary Hospital in Kerala:

Dr Admi V R Karaniyil

Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Azeezia Medical College, Meeyannoor, Kollam, Kerala.

Abstract:

Background:

According to the Diabetes Atlas 2014 published by the International Diabetes Federation, the number of people with diabetes in world currently around 387 million (8.3% prevalence) of which 179 million were undiagnosed cases (46.3%) and rest 208 million of diagnosed cases. It is expected to rise by 205 million to reach 592 million by 2025. India leads the world with largest number of diabetic subjects earning the dubious distinction of being termed the —diabetes capital of the world contributing 66 million of diagnosed diabetic cases with a prevalence of 8.63%. Every 7 seconds 1 person dies from diabetes accounts for 4.9 million deaths in 2014 . The greatest number of people with diabetes are between 40 and 59 years of age Diabetes expenditure reached USD 612 billion in 2014. In India 94.96 USD per person spent for diabetes related treatment⁵ . The lifetime risk of a person with diabetes developing a foot ulcer may be as high as 25%, whereas the annual incidence of foot ulcers is 2%^{6,7}. Up to 50% of older patients with type 2 diabetes have one or more risk factors for foot ulceration

Aims and Objectives:

To study the peripheral neuropathies and their associated signs, symptoms and other demographic influencers.

Materials and Methods:

Over a period of 18 months, an observational study of 120 patients admitted with diabetic foot in the present Medical College.

Results:

Our study showed a high prevalence of neuropathy (81.7%), peripheral vascular disease (70.8%) and infection (77.5%).

Conclusion:

Common symptoms, signs and other co-morbidities are discussed in this study.

Keywords: Peripheral Neuropathies, Co-morbid, Habits, Tertiary Hospital.

Introduction:

Peripheral neuropathies are among the commonest of all the long term diabetic complications and are the main initiating factor for diabetic foot ulceration (DFU). Epidemiological data on symptomatic diabetic neuropathy which is a common scenario in surgical practice in India remain poor due to inconsistent definitions, poor ascertainment, and a lack of population based studies. This study was aimed to stratify the diabetic foot patients according to the distribution of commonly associated co-morbidities and other factors. Over a period of 18 months, an

observational study of 120 patients admitted with diabetic foot in the present Medical College. Patients were stratified. Our study showed a high prevalence of neuropathy (81.7%), peripheral vascular disease (70.8%) and infection (77.5%). Diabetes is reaching epidemic proportions and with it carries the increased risk of complications. Disease of the foot is among one of the most feared complications of diabetes. Diabetic foot may be defined as infection, ulceration, or destruction of deep tissues of the foot associated with neuropathy and /or peripheral arterial disease in the lower extremity of people with diabetes¹. According to the Diabetes Atlas 2014 published by the International Diabetes Federation, the number of people with diabetes in world currently around 387 million (8.3% prevalence) of which 179 million were undiagnosed cases (46.3%) and rest 208 million of diagnosed cases. It is expected to rise by 205 million to reach 592 million by 2025. India leads the world with largest number of diabetic subjects earning the dubious distinction of being termed the —diabetes capital of the world contributing 66 million of diagnosed diabetic cases with a prevalence of 8.63%. Every 7 seconds 1 person dies from diabetes accounts for 4.9 million deaths in 2014. The greatest number of people with diabetes are between 40 and 59 years of age. Diabetes expenditure reached USD 612 billion in 2014. In India 94.96 USD per person spent for diabetes related treatment². The lifetime risk of a person with diabetes developing a foot ulcer may be as high as 25%, whereas the annual incidence of foot ulcers is 2%^{3,4}. Up to 50% of older patients with type 2 diabetes have one or more risk factors for foot ulceration⁵.

Aims and Objectives:

To study the diabetic peripheral neuropathy

Materials and Methods:

Study Design: Observational Study

Study Setting:

- Outpatient / Inpatients of Department of General Surgery, Azeezia Medical College, Meeyannoor, Kollam, Kerala

Duration Of Study:

- January 2019- July 2020

Sample Size:

- The following simple formula (Daniel,1999) can be used

$$n = \frac{Z^2 P(1-P)}{d^2}$$

n = sample size

Z = statistic for a level of confidence

p = expected prevalence of peripheral neuropathy

d = precision (20% Of prevalence when β error is 20%)

Z value is 1.96 when α error is 5%

In a recent study by Gershater MA et al⁵⁶ among diabetic foot patients the prevalence of neuropathic foot is about 60%.

So substitute the value in the above equation

$$n = \frac{(1.96)^2 \cdot 0.6 \cdot (1-0.6)}{(0.2)^2} = 66.66 = 67$$

So sample size is minimum of 67 patients.

Sample size after 18 months of study= 120 subjects.

Inclusion Criteria:

All patients between 40 to 70 years with diabetic foot attending IP/OP departments of General Surgery and Plastic Surgery.

Exclusion criteria:

- Patients with Type Idiabetes
- Patients with leg ulcers other than diabetic etiology- Traumatic ulcers, Infective ulcers without diabetes ,Malignant ulcers ,Venousulcers
- Patients who do not give consent forstudy.
- Patients with other causes for peripheralneuropathy

Study Procedure:

Conducted study on 120 patients getting admitted with diabetic foot in outpatient / Inpatients of General Surgical Department. All patients diagnosed as diabetic foot by clinical examination and relevant investigations are enrolled into study. Patient is diagnosed as diabetic by his FBS $\{\geq 126 \text{ mg/dl (7.0 mmol/l)}\}$, PPBS ($\geq 200 \text{ mg/dl}$), HBA1c values⁹³ and diabetic history . Diabetic foot is determined by ulceration or any two signs of infection as per IWGDF guidelines⁸ in these patients. Subjects who satisfied inclusion criteria were selected for the study and a written consent was taken. All patients enrolled in the study were interviewed and examined by the investigator with the help of a proforma. History regarding their symptoms and a thorough clinical examination will be done. For each of the recruited subjects, a thorough history regarding age, sex, duration and nature of treatment of Diabetes and associated systemic diseases like hypertension dyslipidemia were taken. History also included the details of, personal habits like smoking status & alcohol intake (regular intake, occasional intake, no intake) . History was also taken about ulcer duration,

vascular symptoms like claudication pain, rest pain, autonomic symptoms like sweating.

Results:

A total of 120 patients were studied. Results obtained are analysed below.

Table 1:Age distribution

| Age group | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 41-50 | 21 | 17.5 |
| 51-60 | 42 | 35 |
| 61-70 | 57 | 47.5 |
| Total | 120 | 100 |

Table 2: Sex distribution

| Sex | Frequency | Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|
| Males | 96 | 80.0 |
| Females | 24 | 20.0 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 3: Distribution according to duration of diabetes.

| Duration of diabetes | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| 1-5yrs | 16 | 13.3 |
| 6-10yrs | 39 | 32.5 |
| 11-20yrs | 53 | 44.2 |
| >20yrs | 12 | 10.0 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 4: Distribution according to duration of hypertension

| Duration of hypertension | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| no | 21 | 17.5 |
| <1yr | 17 | 14.2 |
| 1-5yrs | 32 | 26.7 |
| 6-10yrs | 35 | 29.2 |
| 11-20yrs | 13 | 10.8 |
| >20yrs | 2 | 1.7 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 5: Distribution according to duration of dyslipidemia

| Duration of dyslipidemia | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|
| no | 26 | 21.7 |
| <1yr | 36 | 30.0 |
| 1-5yrs | 28 | 23.3 |
| 6-10yrs | 25 | 20.8 |
| 11-20yrs | 5 | 4.2 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 6: Distribution according to method of diabetic control

| Method of diabetic control | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| No treatment | 14 | 11.7 |
| Diet control | 19 | 15.8 |
| OHA | 48 | 40.0 |
| Insulin | 39 | 32.5 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 7: Distribution according to peripheral vascular status

| Peripheral vascular status | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Non-ischemic foot | 35 | 29.2 |
| Ischemic foot | 85 | 70.8 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 8: Distribution according to smoking status

| Smoking status(pack years) | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| No smoking | 40 | 33.3 |
| 1-10 | 12 | 10.0 |
| 11-20 | 33 | 27.5 |
| 21-40 | 16 | 13.3 |
| >40 | 19 | 15.8 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 9: Distribution according to alcohol intake

| Alcohol intake | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| No intake | 44 | 36.7 |
| Occasional | 40 | 33.3 |
| Regular | 36 | 30.0 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 10: Distribution according to claudication pain

| Claudication pain | Frequency | Percent |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| Nil | 62 | 51.7 |
| Present | 58 | 48.3 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 11: Distribution according to Rest Pain

| Rest pain | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------|-----------|---------|
| No | 88 | 73.3 |
| Yes | 32 | 26.7 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 12: Distribution according to foot ulcer

| Foot ulcer | Frequency | Percent |
|------------|-----------|---------|
| No | 25 | 20.8 |
| Yes | 95 | 79.2 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 13: Distribution according to presence of infection

| Signs of infection | Frequency | Percent |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|
| No | 27 | 22.5 |
| Yes | 93 | 77.5 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

77.5% of studied population had signs of infection.

Table 14: Distribution according to foot deformity

| Foot Deformity | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------|-----------|---------|
| no | 103 | 85.8 |
| yes | 17 | 14.2 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 15: Distribution according to sweating

| Sweating | Frequency | Percent |
|----------|-----------|---------|
| No | 22 | 18.3 |
| Yes | 98 | 81.7 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 16. Distribution according to fasting glucose values

| FBS | Frequency | Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|
| <126 | 4 | 3.3 |
| 126-200 | 80 | 66.7 |
| 201-300 | 33 | 27.5 |
| >301 | 3 | 2.5 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 17. Distribution according to post prandial glucose values

| PPBS | Frequency | Percent |
|---------|-----------|---------|
| <200 | 16 | 13.3 |
| 200-300 | 77 | 64.2 |
| 301-400 | 23 | 19.2 |
| >401 | 4 | 3.3 |
| Total | 120 | 100.0 |

Table 18: Distribution according to HbA1c values.

| Diabetic control by HbA1c | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------|
| < 6.5 (good) | 14 | 11.6 |
| 6.5-10 (fair) | 71 | 59.16 |
| ≥10 (poor) | 35 | 29.16 |

Discussion:

Diabetic foot problems are the commonest reason for hospitalization of diabetic patients (about 30% of admissions) and absorb some 20% of the total health-care costs of the disease more than all other diabetic complication^{6,7}. In India prevalence of foot ulcers in diabetic patients in clinic population is 3%, which is much lower than reported in the western world. A possible reasoning for the low prevalence in Indians is younger age and shorter duration of diabetes.⁸The prevalence of diabetes is different in different parts of India because of wide variation in demographic profile. Various studies shows this difference (Chennai Urban Population Study⁹ - prevalence of type 2 Diabetes is 12% in population above the age of 20 years, and The Indian Industrial Populations Study Group¹⁰ shows prevalence of different urban areas– Bangalore (men 12.1%, women 9.1%), Hyderabad (men 15.1%, women 11.9%), and Trivandrum (men 17.4%, women 15.3%). There is also rural urban variation in prevalence of Diabetes (PODIS - Prevalence of Diabetes in India Study, shows Prevalence of 5.9% in Urban and 2.7% in rural India)¹¹. This urban – rural difference in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus within the same ethnic group (Indians) is attributed primarily to the ‘modern’ lifestyle that urban Indians follow when compared to the traditional‘ lifestyle of rural Indians. The prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes and its complications are also increasing in Kerala. This may be attributed to the rapid economical, nutritional and demographic transition experienced in Kerala. Also Kerala has the highest proportion of elderly in India¹².

A very high prevalence of diabetes (16.3%) reported in Thiruvananthapuram in Kerala State in the year 1999 by Kutty et al¹³. The crude- and age-adjusted prevalence of DM in Kerala by another study was 14.6 % and 12.5 % respectively¹⁴. risk factors, as investigated by several teams^{15,16}, include age, sex (being male), diabetes duration and type, insulin use, past history of diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) and amputation, glycaemia level and poor glycaemic control, dyslipidaemia, sensory and autonomic neuropathy (foot insensitivity to the 5.07 monofilament), absence of reflex and limited joint motion, muscle weakness, callus formation, Charcot deformity, hammer/claw toe deformity, arterial insufficiency etc. Smoking, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia are considered as risk factors due to their effects on the increased occurrence of peripheral arterial occlusive disease in diabetics, which typically involves the tibial and peroneal arteries, but leaves the dorsalis pedis artery unaffected .

Conclusion:

Common symptoms, signs and other co-morbidities are discussed in this study. This study is intended to be useful to practising physicians and to look out for signs and symptoms that have been discussed in this study.

References:

1. Bakker K, Apelqvist J, Schaper NC on behalf of the International Working Group on the Diabetic Foot Editorial Board. Practical guidelines on the management and prevention of the diabetic foot 2011. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2012; 28(Suppl 1):225-31.
2. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas update poster, 6th edn. Brussels: Belgium; 2014. Available from: www.idf.org/diabetesatlas.
3. Singh N, Armstrong DG, Lipsky BA. Preventing foot ulcers in patients with diabetes. *JAMA.* 2005;293:217-28. 88
4. Abbott CA, Carrington AL, Ashe H, Bath S, Every LC, Griffiths J, et al. The North-West Diabetes Foot Care Study: incidence of, and risk factors for, new diabetic foot ulceration in a community-based patient cohort. *Diabet Med.* 2002;19:377-84.
5. Reiber GE, Vileikyte L, Boyko EJ, del Aguila M, Smith DG, Lavery LA, et al. Causal pathways for incident lower extremity ulcers in patients with diabetes from two settings. *Diabetes Care.* 1999;22:157-62.
6. Thomson FJ, et al. A team approach to diabetic foot care: the Manchester experience. *Foot.* 1991;1:75-82.
7. Williams R, Airey M. The size of the problem: economic aspects of foot problems in diabetes. In: Boulton AJM, Connor H, Cavanagh PR, eds. *The Foot in Diabetes*, 3rd edn. Chichester: Wiley; 2000. p. 3-17.
8. Pendsey SP. Epidemiological aspects of Diabetic Foot. *Int. J Diab. Dev Countries.* 1994; 14:37-8.
9. Mohan V. Ravikumar R, Shanthi Rani S, Deepa R .The Chennai Urban Population Study (CUPS). *Diabetologia.* 2000;43:494-9.
10. Reddy KS, Prabhakaran D, Chaturvedi V, et al. Methods for establishing a

- surveillance system for cardiovascular diseases in Indian industrial populations. Bull WHO. 2006;84:4619. 89
11. Aarushi, Naveen Nandal, Parul Agrawal. AN EXPLORATORY RESEARCH IN PRODUCT INNOVATION IN AUTOMOBILE SECTOR. JCR. 2020; 7(2): 522-529. doi:10.31838/jcr.07.02.98
 12. Nayak, N. R., Kumar, S., Gupta, D., Suri, A., Naved, M., and Soni, M. (2022). Network mining techniques to analyze the risk of the occupational accident via bayesian network. International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management. Vol.1,no.1, pp. 01-09
 13. Sadikot SM, Nigam A, Das S, Bajaj S, Zargar AH, Prasannakumar KM, et al. Diabetes India. The burden of diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in India using the ADA 1997 criteria: prevalence of diabetes in India study (PODIS). Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2004;66:293-300.
 14. Government of India. Registrar General of India. Census of India 2001. Ministry of Home Affairs. New Delhi: Government of India; 2001.
 15. Kutty VR, Joseph A, Soman CR. High prevalence of type 2 diabetes in an urban settlement in Kerala, India. Ethn Health.1999;4:231-9.
 16. Vijayakumar G, Arun R, Kutty VR. High Prevalence of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Other Metabolic Disorders in Rural Central Kerala. JAPI. 2009;57.
 17. Nyamu PN, Otieno CF, Amayo EO, McLigeyo SO. Risk factors and prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi. East Afr Med J. 2003 Jan;80(1):36-43.
 18. Tesfaye S, Selvarajah D. Advances in the epidemiology, pathogenesis and management of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2012 Feb;28 Suppl 1:8-14.